

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

BID NO .:

W/ONB/OTM/04/2023 - PROVISION OF WORKS: UPGRADING TO INTERLOCK STANDARDS OF HENK WILLEMS STREET (PHASE 2)

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

- Scope of Contract: W/ONB/OTM/04/2023 Provision of works: Upgrading to Interlock Standards of Henk Willems Street (phase 2)
- 2. Procurement method used: Works-Open National Bidding
- 3. Date of Invitation of Bids: 01 August 2023
- 4. Closing date for submission of bids: 12 September 2023
- Date and place of opening of bids: 12 September 2023 at 11:00am at Otjiwarongo Municipality Head Office, Lecture Hall
- 6. Number of bids received by closing date: 16 bids



MT AT II

7. Responsiveness of bids:

	Bidder's Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsive or not responsive (Yes/ No)	Reasons why bid is not responsive		
pome .	MAPERES INVESTMENT	N\$ 4 780 333.80	No	 Bidder did not quote on item 2.5 on Section 2 (did not use revised bill for section 2) as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified. Bidder is also not financially responsive as per the Financial Evaluation criteria, did not fall within the 10% (up or down) from the respective standard benchmark bid amount, hence disqualified. 		
2.	JAMES AND YOUNG TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	N\$ 5 524 811.60	Yes	Bidder is responsive		
3.	DANNY'S BUILDING CONSTRUCTION CC	N\$ 5 246 315.53	No	 Bidder did not quote for item 1.2 on Section of the revised bill, as per Notice to Bidders 1, hence disqualified. Bidder is also not financially responsive as per the Financial Evaluation criteria, did not fall within the 10% (up or down) from the respective standard benchmark bid amount, hence disqualified. 		





4.	KHANKAN TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	N\$ 2 696 542.31	No	Bidder not technically responsive as per the Technical Evaluation criteria, bidder received a Technical score of less than 70% as they scored 42%, hence disqualified.
5.	VIBROTHERM RELIABILITY SERVICES CC	N\$ 4 542 501.15	No	Bidder failed to initial all the attachments as requested on page 14 of the bidding document, hence disqualified.
6.	ROYAL CONTRACTORS	N\$ 3 966 873.72	No	Bidder not financially responsive as per the Financial Evaluation criteria, did not fall within the 10% (up or down) from the respective standard benchmark bid amount, hence disqualified.
7.	NOVA INVESTMENT CC	N\$ 5 281 767.15	No	Bidder not technically responsive as per the Technical Evaluation criteria, bidder received a Technical score of less than 70% as they scored 50%, hence disqualified.
8.	ALUGODHI ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION CC JV TRY HARDER CONSTRUCTION CC	N\$ 6 103 821.08	No .	Bidder failed to use the revised bill of quantity as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified.
9.	ROTEX CALIBORA INVESTMENT CC	N\$ 5 374 399.50	No	Bidder not technically responsive as per the Technical Evaluation



				criteria. bidder received a Technical score of less than 70% as they scored 27%, hence disqualified.
	SHISHO'S TRADING CC JV THIA TRADING CC	N\$ 5 252 750.58	No	 Bidder did not quote on item 2.5 on Section 2 (did not use revised bill for section 2) as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified. Bidder not financially responsive as per the Financial Evaluation criteria, did not fall within the 10% (up or down) from the respective standard benchmark bid amount, hence disqualified.
11.	KUNENE RIVER CONSTRUCTION AND ELECTRICAL CC	N\$ 4 087 784.25	No	Bidder not technically responsive as per the Technical Evaluation criteria, bidder received a Technical score of less than 70% as they scored 52%, hence disqualified.
12.	KAMBWA CONSTRUCTION (PTY) Ltd	N\$ 5 760 107.93	No	 Arithmetic error at item 2.3 and 2.6. Bidder did not quote for item 2.5 on the revised bill as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified.



13.	MARITZ TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP	N\$ 5 541 628.51	No	Bidder failed to attach the Company Registration/Founding Statement as requested on page 13 of the bidding document, hence disqualified.
14.	KAI ENGINEERING AND FABRICATION	N\$ 4 999 999.79	No	 Bidder did not quote for item 1.2 on Section of the revised bill as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified. Bidder not financially responsive as per the Financial Evaluation criteria, did not fall within the 10% (up or down) from the respective standard benchmark bid amount, hence disqualified.
15.	FLORIDA TRADING CC	N\$ 5 317 819.65	No	Bidder failed to use the revised bill of quantity as per Notice to Bidders 1 issued, hence disqualified.
16.	URBAN ENGINEERING CC	N\$ 5 814 458.65	No	Bidder not technically responsive as per the Technical Evaluation criteria. bidder received a Technical score of less than 70% as they scored 68%, hence disqualified.

8. Total Score comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:



Bidder's Name	Financial Score	Technical Score	Total Score	Ranking
JAMES AND YOUNG TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	30	61	91	1

9. Best Evaluated Bid:

As per Section III of the Evaluation and Qualification Criteria of the Bid Document, the best evaluated bidder is **James and Young Trading Enterprises cc.**

10. Evaluated by:

Mr. Annanias Nakale – Chair-person of the Bidding ad hoc Evaluation Committee

Mr. Andreas Ipangelwa – Member of the Bidding ad hoc Evaluation Committee

Mr. Mercy-Thea Alugodhi - Member of the Bidding ad hoc Evaluation Committee

Ms. Ilona Ismael – Secretary to the Bidding ad hoc Evaluation Committee

Evaluation Dates: 15 September 2023, 16 September 2023 and 25 October 2023.



MT II